DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 057 902 PS 005 174

AUTHOR Pennock, Jean L.

TITLE Cost of Raising a Child.

INSTITUTION Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C.

PUB DATE 18 Feb 70

NOTE 26p.: Paper presented at the 47th Annual Agricultural

Outlook Conference, Washington, D.C., February 18,

1970

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.65 HC-\$3.29

DESCRIPTORS *Child Rearing: *Costs: *National Surveys: Rural

Areas: *Statistical Data: Urban Areas

ABSTRACT

Estimates of the cost of rearing children have been developed by the Consumer and Food Economics Research Division, Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, from the 1960-61 Survey of Consumer Expenditures conducted jointly by the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics and the USDA. Costs are at the levels of the USDA's economy, low-cost and moderate-cost food plans, for urban, rural nonfarm and farm families in the four principal regions of the U.S. Estimates cover the first 18 years of the child's life and are presented at 1960-61, 1969 and 1970 price levels. Costs for the average child in families of no more than 5 children are presented in "Cost of Raising a Child;" costs for the child in families of specified size in "Child Rearing Costs at Two Levels of Living" (limited to the North Central and Southern regions). More than one-half the document consists of tables. (Author/MK)



(*=*)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH.
EDUCATION & WELFARE
OFFICE OF EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIG
INATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPIN
IONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY
REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE Agricultural Research Service

COST OF RAISING A CHILD 1/

Talk by Jean L. Pennock
Consumer and Food Economics Research Division
at the 47th Annual Agricultural Outlook Conference
Washington, D.C., 3:45 P.M., Wednesday, February 18, 1970

What does it cost to raise a child? The answer to this question is another question—how much can you afford to spend?—for costs vary with standards of what is necessary and desirable. These standards are closely related to economic position. Today I shall be talking about costs for children with no more than 4 siblings in families whose level of living is such that their food expenditures are at the level of the Department's low-cost food plan.2/Very shortly we will also have available estimates at the levels of the moderate-cost and economy food plans.

Using the food plans is one way of setting the economic level in which our hypothetical child lives. For those of you who may not be familiar with the Department's food plans, let me take a moment to describe them and explain their use in this research. They are at four cost levels—economy (the lowest), low-cost, moderate—cost, and liberal. Each provides a guide for estimating the quantities of foods needed for individuals of specified age and sex. Costs for individuals are estimated periodically. These costs can be combined to estimate costs for families of varying size and composition. On the assumption that groups of families that are eating at the same level are living at the same level, the budgets can be used to bridge differences in family size and composition to locate families at comparable levels of living.



^{1/} This paper follows up work reported at the November 1966 Outlook meeting by Lucile F. Mork. As in the earlier work, the methodology was developed by the author, with Carol M. Jaeger, Minnie Belle McIntosh, both formerly with the Consumer and Food Economics Research Division. Dr. J. Patrick Madden, Associate Professor Agricultural Economics, Pennsylvania State University, suggested the regression equation used.

^{2/} As this paper was being prepared it was discovered that a programming error invalidated estimates for the farm child in the West. Corrections could not be made in time for inclusion in this paper and consequently estimates for this child have had to be omitted.

^{3/} The plans are described in detail in HERR 20, Family Food Plans and Food Costs, and in CA 62-19, Family Food Plans Revised, 1964, both USDA publications. Prices are published quarterly in Family Economics Review. Modifications made in the pricing of the plans for this research are described on p. 6.

Estimates in constant vs. current prices

The data we used to derive the estimates of costs of raising a child came from the 1960-61 Survey of Consumer Expenditures conducted jointly by USDA and the Bureau of Labor Statistics. As a result our first estimates are in terms of 1960-61 prices (table 1). Such estimates have little more than historical interest in 1970, in view of a price rise of 23 percent from 1961 to 1969. Consequently we have updated the costs to 1969 prices (table 2). We have also computed costs for a child born in 1951 and reaching age 17 in 1968 so as to reflect the price changes that occurred during his childhood (table 3). The index numbers used in computing prices in tables 2 and 3 are given in table 4 so that costs for a child of any age can be computed in prices of any year between 1951 and 1969.

Whether you will want to use the estimates presented in constant dollars—that is, costs for all ages in prices of a specified year—or in current dollars—prices varying with the year—will depend on the use you plan to make of them. The data in constant dollars are pertinent when allowances for present costs are being considered. Persons in welfare programs determining allowances for the support of dependent children will want data for each age as nearly in today's prices as possible. So will lawyers and courts when support for children is being adjudicated. So will researchers when they are considering the present costs of supporting the youth of the country or of a segment of the population. But the lawyer and court considering the past costs met in raising an individual child will want the costs in current dollars to reflect prices throughout the child's lifetime. So will the researcher interested in past costs of a cohort of individuals.

First, let us consider costs in 1969 dollars. At the low-cost level we are dealing with in this paper estimates for the first 18 years of life range in constant dollars from \$19,360 for a rural nonfarm child in the North Central region to \$25,000 for a rural nonfarm child in the West. These costs compare to costs ranging from \$15,800 to \$20,190 for a child born in 1951, computed at the prices current in each year through his childhood. Here also the extremes are a North Central rural nonfarm child and a rural nonfarm child in the West.

Proportion of family income required per child

There are many differences between costs relating to where the child lives, but some generalizations are possible and of interest. Over the 18-year span we are considering, costs per child in constant dollars at the time of the survey--1960-61--consumed from 15 to 17 percent of family income (table 5). The percentage is lowest for the farm child in the Northeast and North Jentral regions. There income has to be spread to cover somewhat more children than elsewhere.

Variation by age of child

Even without taking into account the effects of price change over the life



-2-

span of the child, total costs per year generally rise as the child grows. In 1969 dollars, costs in the eighteenth year are about 30 to 45 percent higher than in the first year.

Price changes over the life of a child may increase the variation in annual costs. Consider, for example, the child born in 1951. In that year and the next, you may remember, the Korean crisis caused a sharp rise in prices. Subsequently food and clothing prices dropped somewhat, but by 1957 average prices for the goods and services we have grouped together in our tabular presentation were all back to the 1951 levels or higher. Since then the trend has been generally upwards, and the rate of increase has been accelerating in recent years. As a result, the costs in the child's eighteenth year, in 1968 dollars, are about 75 to 95 percent higher than the costs in his first year, in 1951 dollars. This is more than double the difference in constant dollars.

Costs do not all rise at the same rate over the life span of the child. The increase is sharpest in clothing and food, categories for which we have the best basis for estimating individual costs—in food from the food plans and in clothing from the reports for individuals in the survey.

In other categories of consumption, the survey is limited to data on expenditures for the family as a whole. Data from another survey have been used to determine the proportion of family expenditures for medical care to be assigned to the child. Lacking information on the shares of housing, transportation, and miscellaneous goods and services used by each family member, we have given the child his per capita share of these categories, making no distinction on age although there is some inequity in this procedure. The infant and the teenager do not require equal amounts of transportation, for example, yet we assign them equal shares of the family costs.

When costs are assigned on a per capita basis and family expenditures do not vary in proportion to family size, costs per child can be expected to decrease through the years when family size tends to increase and to turn upward again when the child is a teenager and has fewer brothers and sisters at home. This pattern is evident in transportation but is most marked in housing.

Food and clothing costs also increased more than other costs between the child's first and eighteenth year when costs are expressed in constant dollars. Price changes between 1951 and 1968 in food and clothing were less than in other categories but not enough less to counterbalance the relative changes in real or constant-dollar costs.

Relative importance of the categories

A pattern in the way total costs are distributed among the categories of consumption is easily discernible in the estimates by region and urbanization.



-3-

^{4/} For more detail on the computation of costs for medical care and other categories of consumption, see pp. 9-10.

Housing generally takes a larger share than any other cacegory over the child's first 18 years—up to 30 percent of the total. Food is a close second to housing and exceeds it among Northeast farm and urban children. Clothing and the residual category that includes recreation and personal care each take roughly the same proportions—usually 10 to 12 percent. Transportation takes somewhat more. The smallest proportions are used on medical care—4 to 6 percent—and education—about 1 percent.

Rural-urban differences

The opinion is rather widely held that rural people can live more cheaply than city people. It has been suggested, however, that when we expect farm people to live at less cost we frequently also expect them to live less well. In this study we have attempted to hold levels of living constant, measuring costs as the value of goods and services used without regard to whether they were purchased or home-produced. 6

find that when levels of living are held constant, there is little difference in costs for the farm, rural nonfarm, and urban child in the South. Costs for the urban child are appreciably higher than for the two rural children in the North Central region, but in the Northeast and West the rural nonfarm child has the highest cost. Food and housing costs of the urban child are generally higher than these costs for a rural child in the same region. His transportation costs are generally lower.

I would like to be able to tell you what part of these differences between requirements for farm, rural nonfarm, and urban children result from the choices families make because of differences in needs and preferences and how much from variation in price levels. However, we worked from expenditure data in which it is not always possible to determine the quantities consumed and never possible to determine whether items bought by farm and nonfarm households were of like quality.

I mentioned a moment ago that we have attempted to hold level of living constant. Because it is harder to do this between farm, rural nonfarm, and urban situations than between regions of the country, perhaps this is the point at which I should tell you something about our procedures and assess our success.

As I said earlier, we are proceeding on the assumption that families who are eating at the same level of adequacy are living at the same level of adequacy in other respects. In other words, we are assuming that family spending is "of a piece" across the areas of consumption--that families will apply the same standards in all areas of spending.



^{5/} Any college expense that may have been incurred before age 18 is not included. For a more detailed explanation of the derivation of the estimates for education, see p. 10.

^{6/} Goods and services received as gift or pay, except food and housing received as pay, are not included in the analysis. For treatment of home-produced food, see p. 8.

We must recognize, however, that the goods and services families buy are only one factor in determining levels of living. Goods and, more frequently, services furnished by the community also are a part of level of living but are not included in our basic data. A few differences in the level of community services may be reflected in the level of family spending, many will not be. If school systems do not provide free school books, families must. be one explanation for higher education expenses among southern farm families. If free libraries are not available, families may buy more books and keep up their level of living. On the other hand, they may read less and be at a lower level of living. If government does not provide police protection, few families will be in a position to buy it privately and their level of living will be reduced. But as long as we are concerned primarily with the out-of-pocket costs of families, inequalities in community services are of little moment.

Of more importance than inequalities in community services to our thesis that families eating at the same level are living at the same level is the implicit assumption that all goods and services are equally available to all families, without cost differentials, and that differences in selections made are based only on differences in needs and preferences. This is not universally While price differences are believed to be decreasing, some still exist. Location also accounts for some differences in costs that are not true price differences. A farm family, for example, may have to pay mileage costs in addition to the standard fee for a physician's house call. And a farm family will usually have to pay for room and board when a child attends college, whereas many city children can live at home while attending college. On the other hand, the city child may have to spend money to reach and enjoy the fresh air and open space that constantly surrounds the farm child at no cost. The pressures of population also result in the urban family paying more than the rural family for comparable housing. In any of these instances the family facing higher costs may decide to buy less of the commodity and more food. On the other hand, the family facing lower costs may put some or all of the money saved into more food. In both cases, the relation between food and other consumption is distorted and our thesis that families eating at the same level are living at the same level is not universally true. However, it is probable that these distortions average out to some extent when families are grouped together.

There are considerable differences among the regions in the absolute level of the estimated cost of raising a child and no consistent pattern in these differences. Regional differences are greatest for the rural nonfarm child. For this child, the highest estimate, for the West, exceeds the lowest estimate, for the North Central region, by almost 30 percent. The distribution of costs among the categories of consumption, on the other hand, shows few regional differences are greatest for the lowest estimate, for the North Central region, by almost 30 percent. The distribution of costs among the categories of consumption, on the other hand, shows few regional differences are greatest for the rural nonfarm child. low proportion of total costs in the Northeast.

Methodological statement

As some of you will remember, we presented estimates of the cost of raising a farm child in the North Central region and the South on this program in



November 1966. My presentation today would not be complete without discussing briefly the changes we have made in our methodology and the differences they have caused in the two sets of estimates.

First, let me review our use of the food plans -- specifically the low-cost plans. The low-cost plan, as published in CA 62-19, Family Food Plans Revised, 1964, and priced for the four regions annually in Family Economics Review, suggests amounts of 11 groups of food that together provide an adequate diet. The difference in the cost of food in the four regions comes about partly because in pricing the plans choices of foods in each food group are based on the food habits of the lowest third of families in each region. In the South this is a lower income level than in the other regions. If we are to use the cost of the food plans to locate families at a constant level of living we cannot permit differences in income to affect food choices and determine, even in part, the cost of the plans. We have therefore used one set of food choices -- the U.S. nonfarm average -- and priced them in the same income class throughout. By this procedure we have eliminated as far as possible differences attributable to differences in income level. The remaining cost differences between regions and urbanizations are largely attributable to variations in price levels. These price differences, of course, must be taken into account in locating families at the same level of consumption.

Pricing the U.S. average nonfarm choices in all regions and urbanizations brings the costs of the food plans closer together both across regional lines and as between farm, rural nonfarm, and urban areas. And of particular importance in explaining differences in our 1966 and present estimates of the cost of raising a farm child in the North Central and Southern regions, this procedure reverses the relation of the food plan costs for North Central and Southern farm families at the low-cost level. Whereas in the 1966 computations, costs in the South were lower, they are now higher than in the North Central region. These changes can be illustrated in costs per week for the low-cost food plan for the usual budget family of four, a husband and wife aged 20 to 34 and 2 children aged 7 to 9 and 10 to 12. The pricings used in 1966 and in our present computations, both in 1960-61 dollars, are:

	Used in 1	966 computations	<u>Used in</u>	1970 compu	<u>tations</u>
	Rural farm	Rural nonfarm and urban	Rural farm	Rural nonfarm	Urban
North Central South Northeast West	\$21.30 18.50 23.90 23.10	\$25.40 21.00 26.80 27.20	\$22.30 22.70 25.60 24.30	\$22.80 22.90 25.50 25.70	\$25.10 22.90 26.00 26.30

Principally as a result of the reversal of the relative positions of costs of the North Central and Southern low-cost food plans, the relative positions of our estimates of the costs of raising a farm child have also been reversed in the two regions. In 1960-61 dollars the old and new low-cost estimates are:

	<u> 1966</u>	<u> 1970</u>
North Central South	\$15,010 13,270	\$16,010 17,830
boutt	±3,21°	-1,000



Both the earlier and present estimates are based on regression analysis. In the earlier analysis, the estimate for each category in each region and urbanization was compiled from a series of nine regressions, each using data for that region and urbanization only and for one family type and usually one or two family sizes. This procedure requires a very large sample and we would probably not have been able to develop estimates for the farm population in the Northeast, and the rural nonfarm population in the Northeast and West if we had stayed with it.

The present estimates are based on multiple regressions which utilize the data for all children in families of husband and wife, one to five children, and no other persons. It permits the introduction of tenure and age of the head of the family, pertinent variables not used in the earlier procedure.

The new equation forms, together with the changes in the level of the food plan costs have resulted in some changes in the make-up of our total cost figures. Because the level of total costs was raised somewhat in the North Central estimates and more so in the Southern, the proportion of the total taken by food has decreased in both regional estimates but more in the Southern. In the latter, there is also an appreciable decrease in the proportion going to transportation. In the South, these shifts are balanced principally by an increase in the proportion going to housing. In the North Central, the decrease in the proportion for food is balanced principally by an increase in the proportion for the miscellaneous category that includes personal care and recreation.

Methodological appendix

Regressions used

Allowances for all the categories of consumption except food at home were obtained by a two-step procedure. As a first step, a proxy for the normal level of consumption was determined at the level of the low-cost food plan. As a second step, each of the categories was determined at the level of the proxy for normal level of consumption.

The regressions used were:

$$F = f(P, P^2, RU, H, S, T, A)$$

 $X = f(P, P^2, RU, H, S, T, A)$

The terms are defined as follow .:

F = food consumption defined as the sum of expenditures for food at home or to be carried from home, one-half of expenditures for food away from home except expenditures for school lunches, the value of meals received as pay,



^{7/} The regression equations used are discussed further below.

and 40 percent of the value of home-produced food. The adjustment to expenditures for food away from home was made to transform expenditures to a food-athome basis for use in conjunction with the food plans in which it is assumed that all meals are from the home food supply. In the 1955 Household Food Consumption Survey the cost of a meal away from home was approximately twice the cost of a meal at home. Expenditures for school lunches were not similarly deflated because family expenditures meet only a part of the total cost. The value of home-produced food was reduced because analysis of data from the 1960-61 Survey of Consumer Expenditures indicates that 40 percent of home-produced food substitutes for purchases and 60 percent increases the level of food consumption. This adjustment is necessary because of the basic premise that families at comparable levels of living have comparable food consumption.

P = the sum of family expenditures for food (actual, not adjusted), clothing, housing, education, transportation other than automobile purchase, personal care, reading, recreation, tobacco, alcoholic beverages, miscellaneous family expenditures, gifts and contributions, and personal insurance. These are the categories of family outlay relatively unaffected by year-to-year variations in family income. Their sum is used as a prory for the permanent or normal level of living in determining the average level of expenditure for the individual categories.

RU = region and urbanization.

H = tenure of the family home.

S = family size in year-equivalent persons.

T = family type. The data are limited to three family types. All are comprised of husband and wife, unmarried children, and no other persons. The distinction between types is based on the age of the oldest child--under 6 years, 6 through 17 years, and 18 years and over.

A = age of the head of the family.

X=, in individual equations, family expenditures for food away from home, clothing for children (by age of child), clothing materials and services, housing, medical care, education, transportation other than automobile purchase, automobile purchase, and all other.

In determining the value for P in specified classes F is set at the estimated cost of the food plan for the class. In determining the value for X, P is set at the value derived in the first equation. Estimates were developed for all family type-size classes in each region and urbanization on the basis of the known average age of the head and known age of the cldest child and assumptions as to the age distribution of other children.

^{8/.} Pennock, J. L. "Home Production and the Family's Food." Family Economics Review. ARS 62-5, USDA, September 1966, pp. 13-14.

Content of the categories

In one category of consumption, clothing, the survey data indicate the individual for whom the expenditures were made. We can, therefore, develop cost estimates tailored to specific age groups. In the other categories of consumption, however, expenditures were reported on a family basis. In these categories, except as noted in the discussion of food away from home and medical care, the child has been assigned his per capita share of the family's expense. These per capita cost estimates were developed independently for children in families with oldest child under 6 years of age, 6-17, and 18 and over, using the family size-type groups indicated above.

Estimates in tables 2 and 3 have been rounded to the nearest \$10 to avoid a false appearance of precision. Table 1, which is essentially a worktable, carries the data to the nearest \$1.

The estimated costs in the various categories of consumption were computed as follows:

Food.--The cost for food at home is the cost of the food plan for a child of the specified age to which adjustments have been made (1) for the economy of scale involved in family size, and (2) to compensate for the costs for meals and snacks away from home. The age intervals used are those of the food plans as published in 1961:

Under 1	4-6	13-15
1-3	7-9	16-17
	10-12	

No differentiation in costs has been made for sex. The costs in the age intervals in which the food plans differentiate between costs for boys and girls are averages of the food plan allowances for boys and girls.

The estimated cost for food away from home is the child's per capita share of expenditures for meals other than those at work, at school, and for snacks. It is assumed that no children in the age range we are concerned with were employed and so we allowed no meals at work. We also assumed that children under 4 years of age do not eat in restaurants. Because a meal bought away from home costs roughly twice as much as a meal at home, one-half the cost of meals away from home has been subtracted from the cost for food at home.

<u>Clothing.--The</u> estimated costs are derived from the actual expenditures for children in the following age groups:

Under 2	6-11	16-17
2-5	12-15	

To these has been added a per capita share of family expenditures for clothing materials and services.

Housing. -- This category includes the cost for the family dwelling; fuel, light, refrigeration and water; household operations; and housefurnishings and



equipment. The cost per child is a per capita share of the family's reported expenditures.

Medical care. -- The family expenditures reported in the 1960-61 Survey of Consumer Expenditures were assigned among individuals on the basis of the variation in individuals' expenditures by age in a survey conducted as part of the National Health Survey. 2 When the expenditures of persons 15 to 44 years of age are set at 100, the relatives are as follows for the income levels shown:

Age	\$2,000-\$3,999	\$4,000 - \$6,99 <u>9</u>	\$7,000 and over
Under 15 years	39.8	45.5	51.3
15-44 years	100.0	100.0	100.0
45-64 years	156.5	143.2	141.7
65 years and older	197.2	159.1	197.4

The \$2,000-\$3,999 ratios were used. We have applied the ratios for under 15 years to 15-, 16-, and 17-year-olds rather than the ratio for 15 through 44. Other studies indicate that adolescence is one of the healthiest periods. Therefore the ratio for those under 15 seems more pertinent to this 3-year span than the ratio for 15 through 44 years, which includes the child-bearing years for women and the period in which the degenerative diseases begin to develop.

Expenditures for medical care vary greatly because of the irregular incidence of illness and accidents. In relatively small samples such as these estimates are derived from, the standard error of the average expenditure may be quite large. It is probable that any differences in the source data, resulting in different cost estimates, are not statistically significant.

Education. -- Costs were estimated from the expenditures of families whose oldest child was 6 to 17 years of age. Inspection of a selection of question-naires from the Survey of Consumer Expenditures showed that most of the education expenditures reported by families whose youngest child was under 6 were incurred for the husband or wife, while most expenditures in families whose oldest child was 18 years of age or more were for college education of these older children.

Transportation. -- This category includes costs for the purchase and operation of automobiles and for public transportation. The child is assigned a per capita share of expenditures.

All other. -- Included here are the child's per capita share of the family's expenditures for personal care, recreation, reading, and other miscellaneous expenditure. Children in the age groups with which we are concerned were assumed not to use tobacco and alcoholic beverages. They have been assigned no cost for insurance or gifts and contributions.

^{9/} Medical Care, Health Status, and Family Income, United States. Vital and Health Statistics, Series 10, No. 9, p. 45. U.S. National Center for Health Statistics, 1964.



Adjusting costs for price change

Table 4 presents the percentage changes in prices between the base period and each year between 1951 and 1969 as measured by the Consumer Price Index (CPI). The base period for the urban data is 1960-61; for the farm and rural nonfarm data, 1961. Therefore, two sets of index numbers are shown.

Table 2, showing estimates of costs in 1969 prices, was produced by applying these index numbers to the 1960-61 values in table 1 and summing the results to obtain the total cost in each year of age. Table 3, showing estimates of costs for a child born in 1951 in the prices current in each year of age was produced by applying the index numbers for the calendar year corresponding to the child's age to the values for that age in table 1, and, as in table 2, summing the results to obtain total annual costs.

Index numbers comparable to those in table 4 can be produced for other years. It must be recognized, however, that the effects of rising real incomes, the new goods and services coming on the market, and changing consumer preferences on the mix of food and other goods and services being consumed cannot be built into our updating. Therefore as the span between the base period, 1960-61, and the year for which adjustment is made lengthens either forward or backward in time, adjustment for price change alone becomes increasingly insufficient as a correction to true current costs.

The component indexes of the CPI that have been used to adjust for price changes in the various categories are:

Category

Food at home
Food away from home
Clothing
Housing
Medical care
Education
Transportation
All other

<u>Index</u>

Food at home
Food away from home 10/
Apparel and upkeep
Housing
Medical care
Reading and recreation
Transportation
Personal care, reading and
recreation averaged

^{10/} The index for food away from home was begun in 1953. Index numbers for 1952 and 1951 were computed using the average percentage annual change in the years 1953-56.

Table 1A.--Estimated cost of raising a farm child at level of low-cost food plan, by region, in 1 Al prins... [In family of husband and wife and no more than five children]

		-	In remary of	DI IMBORNIO	Total mated onst form	nost for-					Aver-
-					EST LIMBURY	1000					1.176
Age of child	Total		Food	Away	Clothing	Housing	Medical	Educa-	Trans- porta-	1 = E	family ofto
(yests)		Total	home 1/	from		2/	care		tion	ايد	, changes
		-			Z	North Central					
	47.56	133	\$133	Q	£#\$	ańz ‡		 	\$155	*	
l second	182	162	162	0	<u>ب ا</u>	2,30) C			· ·
2	249	158 88,	158	0 0	*	5, 3 5, 4) C			ا. •
	612		<u> </u>	- ;	± - €	: i	~	c	135	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	: _*.
-	84	503	- 281 282		∌ €		-	0		-;*	y •
5	792	ල	283	- 67 c	± 90°	45.0		14	131	:	: · • :2:
9	819	8 8	200		101 201	1 - 3×0	. C**	† 1	131	· ;	J.
	857	2 S	98) 201 201	े हिं -	: <u>S</u>	171	13.1	· ·	ئۇ. ك
8	857		9 6	£ 0) (2)	200	3.1%	1 17	131	5	G•.,
6	25	S F	75.6	90	105	\$. 0.	1,4	14	14		ې د
9:	5,8	26	3 %) 61 10	105	162	<u></u>	† 1	<u> </u>	. 9) - -
1	88	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	25.5	53	155	04c.	<u>_</u>	₹ 7	을 ;		~ ``
7	3 6	Ç		~	155	240	<u></u>	† ·	125		- (- (-
13	1.013	8	285	:83	155	Q :	Ç-1	-			- K-
1	1,013	806	285	2,50	155	07.		*	7 T		
7,	1,089	776	350	₫.	- 180 - 180	~	글 () 	<u> </u>	7.00	- ÷	
17	1,089	# <u></u>	88	†€.	180	÷ .	7 1/2	<u>.</u>	```	•	
Total	\$16,007	\$4,373	\$4,075	\$292	\$1,992	\$44,458	\$768	\$30R	95,55	, f 1 4 1	
						South				-	
			24.54		46.0	4313		O#	00c\$	-	5,2
Under 1	1. S		\$143 373		÷ .	=======================================		.0	300	_	
7	£ 6		2)1		Z £	(£		С	175		i~ (
2	2		109		3 %	£3	£3	с 	179	_	~ (
m-	88		191		(S)	286	er A	c	179	<u>-</u>	> - 8
*	15	_	191		83	3 <u>8</u> ¢		0 ;	6).T		·
	28		189		113	25.7		6í.			• * * ° ° °
7	86		223		113	257	<u> </u>		- 13:		3.
	959		223		ξ Γ	17.7	- <u>-</u>	10	176		**
6	959		223		113	- C17	- 1	î	176		<u>.</u>
92	1,002		9 /9 8 /8			133		61	176		
a	1,002	_	296		7,1	ਨ ਨ		19	ित		
75	1,041	200	ð	_	157	ァ ズ 		91;	3 A	= =	
11	280	319	*62		154	¥ ¥. —		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		•
15	1,98	319	1,62		157	ਨੂੰ ਨ	7. F ₹ 2	<u> </u>	2 8		
16	1,202	20 g	88	% %	5 % 	3,5		<u> </u>		11:	•
T	7,505		3	_		; ;		30004	() () ()	-	_
Total	\$17,826	\$4,576	\$7°4\$	\$ 340	77.°	\$ 0 \$ \$ -	- -	1,394	₩	1	_

Table 1A. -- Continued

7000			7 - 2	-							Aver-
(years)	Total	Total	At home 1/	Away from	Clothing	Housing 2/	Medical	Educa- tion	Trans- porta- tion	1.44 (A) (A)	are Carify
						Northeast					i record
Under 1	\$765		\$159	0\$	017	\$297	C7₩	Ç	%]£,≥	#.7E	-
1	800		193	.0	- -	262	: 2	} <) ; ;	d €
2	753	185	186	0	14	252	17	o c	77	2.5	- C
3 -	753		186	0	67	252	17	- o c	76.	15	እ.
	88		215	81	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	252	- 14	0	1 TE	37.2	r :
5	800		215	18	٦	252	- [7]	0 0	ţ.₩	- [-	ກຸດ ໂພ
9	2 <u>1</u> 8		212	50	8	8.2 8.2	04	`	t Q	- a	ν.ς Σ
7	879		250	50	36	, 5 , 62	ON.	1 =	3 5	4	นูก
	879		250	2	96	238	04	1 =) () () () () () () () () () (3 34	y c
6	879		250	20	E	238	ON N	- 	\$ F	: }	. . .
10	726		28	8	3	238	C ₂	1 5	200	₹\$	ั วัง วัง
n	28		296	8	E	238	9.9	I	3 6	3 3	o ve
21	166		302	50	133	246	41	١٥	ا الح	3 4	ກີເ
13	1,020		334	ଥ	139	246	181	- σ	1 L	 ?	, n , n
14	1,020		334	2	25.1	246	- F	ν σ	1. C	 8 \$	j n j n
15	1,020		- 1 €€	50	139	216	[T	 \	115	8	` u
16	1,102		346	2h	11,8	250	3	α	163	 ? ਰੰ) (u
17	1,102		346	₹	148	250	07	ο α	F93	たま	r a
Total	\$16,259	. \$5,053	\$4,771	4284	\$1,770	\$4,514	\$1.5	\$118	\$2,582	₩64. 1\$	
								sr			

Note: Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

1 Includes home-produced.

2 Includes shelter; fuel, light, refrigeration, and water; household operations; and furnimings and equipment.

3 Includes personal care, recreation, reading, and other miscellaneous expenditures.

Source: Derived from the 1960-61 Survey of Consumer Expenditures.

Table 18.--Estimated cost of raising a rural nonfarm child at level of low-cost food plan, by region, in 1961 prices

Aver-age family (Persons size ॠ**ॣढ़**ढ़ढ़ढ़ \$1,836 \$1,542 All other \$3,262 \$2,778 porta-Trams. tion In family of husband and wife and no more than five children \$126 Educa-8000004444444888888 tion 73 88888888866666 Medical cere Centra \$4,912 Housing 2/ £\$ \$ 598 cost for South Estimated 38,13 Clothing \$1,810 \$\$\$£\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$ 88 ややなななななななななななななない。 **8**0002222222222222 17 to 18 to \$,270 ፞፞፟ቔ፟፞ቔፙፙቑቑቑዸዸዸ፠፠ዾዹዹዹዹቝቝ **}** #,22 8 # ₹, ૽ૺૼૻ૽ઌ૽ૻૺઌૻૹૻૹૢૹૹૹૹૹૹૹૹૹૹૹૹૹ ૱૱૱૱૱૱૱૱૱૱૱૱૱૱૱ૡૡૡ #. 15° T T BB888888888888888888888 47,353 \$15,93 Bet of child Stell ... T T 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2

1, 200 1,		Teble 18.	Table 18Continued									
The color of child The col				Pood		_	-	_		E	:	Aver-
	Age of child (years)	Total	Total	At bone 1/	Area from	Clothing	Housing 2/	Medical	Educa- tion	Trans- porta- tion	other 3	age family size
1							Northeast					(Fersons)
1,000 20 10 10 10 10 10 10	linker 1 and	\$con	414	4167	ş	444	♣ nel.	F.14	34	4.5		
1,000 279 290 29		Sign	28	<u> </u>	3.9	-	1	7	<u>ş</u> , '	557	113	α, M
1,000 20 20 20 20 20 20 20		2	¥.&	¥ §	> <	÷	455	∄ 8	0 0	ът. -	∄ :	m,
1,000 20 20 20 20 20 20 20		5.5	3 4	۽ ڳ	> 0	2 6	G to	કે -	0	J.J.T	107	5.8
1,000 2.		8 8	<u> </u>	ì	ې د	2 8	चे हैं च	8	0	177	107	بر. ھ
1,000 272 272 273 274 27		3.	3,1	₹ 1	er i	2	£	ఙ	0	177	107	5.8
1,000 20	7	3 .	230	ਜ਼ _ੋ	19	2	382	ఙ	0	177	107	5.8
1,002 272 292 290 102 392 393 15 174 1,004 294 395 395 395 395 395 395 395 395 395 1,104 324 396 297 396 396 396 15 174 1,105 395 395 395 395 395 395 395 395 395 1,105 395 395 395 395 395 395 395 395 1,105 395 395 395 395 395 395 395 395 1,105 395 395 395 395 395 395 395 395 1,105 395 395 395 395 395 395 395 1,105 395 395 395 395 395 395 395 1,105 395 395 395 395 395 395 395 1,105 395 395 395 395 395 395 1,105 395 395 395 395 395 395 1,105 395 395 395 395 395 395 1,105 395 395 395 395 395 395 1,105 395 395 395 395 395 1,105 395 395 395 395 395 1,105 395 395 395 395 395 1,105 395 395 395 395 1,105 395 395 395 395 1,105 395 395 395 395 1,105 395 395 395 1,105 395 395 395 1,105 395 395 395 1,105 395 395 395 1,105 395 395 395 1,105 395 395 1,105 395 395 1,105 395 395 1,105 395 395 1,105 395 395 1,105 395 395 1,105 395 395 1,105 395 395 1,105 395 395 1,105 395 395 1,105 395 395 1,105 395 395 1,105 395 395 1,105 395 395 1,105 395 395 1,105 395 395 1,105 395 395 1,105 395 395 1,105 395 1,105 395 1,105 395 395 1,105 395 395 1,105		8	82	8	ଛ	201	305	ఙ	13	174	117	5.9
1,002 272 292 293 102 392 394 115 114 11	7	1,022	8	8	8	202	305	8	15	174	117	, d
1,000 20		1,022	242	8	8	102	305	2	15	174	117	\ o
1,006 320 32	6	230,1	252	88	8	102	8	, e	5.	17.	114	ļ
1,066 220 32	9	1,069	8	8	8	102	8	<u> </u>	1.5	127	117	,,
1,146 274 302 22 156 399 39 15 184	п	98	8	Ş	8	201	<u> </u>	3 8) [† <u>-</u>	1:	, i
1,177 353 314 22 156 399 39 15 184	72	1,118	ā	3	8	12	2 8	25	7 :	† io) 	٠, د
1,177 353 334 22 156 399 39 15 184	12	177	ž	4 5	3 8	27	S 8	ት የ	J;	† 6	121	5.7
1,293 371 22 156 399 39 15 184	746		35		2 :	<u>ዳ</u> :	3	85	15	19	121	5.3
1,593 371 372 25 156 399 39 15 184		7767	203	3	8	ዷ	8	88	15	18#	121	5.7
1,233 377 372 25 195 315 35 14 203 34 34 372 25 195 315 35 315 35 315 35 315 32 315 32 315 32 315 32 315 32 315 32 315 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 3		1,177	32	렸	ผ	35 17 17	8	33	15	187	ारा	5.7
1,893 397 372 25 195 315 9 14 203 184	9	1,293	33	25	£	195	315	8	7	8	130	-
	17 1	1,293	56	312	23	195	315	\$	77	ê	38	
1,004 1,004 2,32 211 21 77 317 47 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1	Total	\$18,972	\$5,045	\$4.749	362	\$5°00	\$5,646	- .	\$178	\$3,288	\$2,096	•
1,006							Mest					
1,004 1,00												
1,000 1,00	Under 1	86,	₹.	₹.	\$	844	\$373	\$50	<u>9</u>	\$256	\$147	5.0
1,000 23 23 23 23 23 23 24 24		8	3	3	0	3	373	ድ	0	256	147	5.0
1,0016 2.22 211 21 77 317 47 0 214	2	E	3	E .	0	F	717	<u> </u>	0	214	131	5.7
1,000 2.2 211 21		E	<u> </u>	183	0	=	Ħ	5	0	214	12.	
1,000 230 201 21 113 295 117 113 295 117 115 206 116 206 211 113 295 117 115 206 211 213 295 117 115 206 211 213 295 117 215 206 215 215 216	-	1,018	232	ੜ	ส	F	317	<u></u>	0	214	131	
1,047 230 206 21 113 295 147 15 206 206 21 113 295 147 15 206 206 21 21 213 295 247 215 213 295 247 215 226 22	2	1,018	23	ਜ਼	ส	11	317	4	0	717	13	7
1,090 272 251 21 113 295 47 15 208 113 1090 272 272 211 113 295 47 15 208 113 295 47 15 208 113 295 47 15 208 113 295 47 15 208 113 295 47 15 208 113 295 47 15 208 113 295 47 15 208 113 295 47 15 208 113 295 47 15 208 113 295 47 15 208 113 295 47 114 227 113 295 47 114 227 296 29	9	1,047	82	8	ಸ	îî	8	4	15	200	1	- c
1,090 272 251 21 113 295 47 15 208 113 295 47 15 208 113 295 47 15 208 113 295 47 15 208 113 295 47 15 208 113 295 47 15 208 113 295 47 15 208 113 295 47 15 208 113 295 47 15 208 113 295 47 15 208 113 295 47 114 227 228 24 24 24 273 292 47 28 24 273 292 47 28 274 28 274 28 274 28 274 28 274 274 275		1,090	22	ĸ	ส	ñ	8	14	15	800	141	
1,030 272 251 21 113 295 47 15 208 42 208 208		1,090	252	ž	ส	ñ	8	14	15	200	וקר	0 C
1,133 315 294 21 113 295 147 15 208 1,13 1,133 315 294 21 113 295 147 15 208 1,261 349 324 24 173 302 147 14 227 1,261 349 324 24 173 302 147 14 227 1,261 349 374 28 177 317 4,8 12 256 4,505	6	1,090	222	Z	ส	ä	£	12	1	2 2 2 3 2 7	141	2 C
1,133 315 294 21 113 295 47 15 236 236 24 24 173 302 47 14 227 236 24 24 173 302 47 14 227 24 24 24 24 24 24	7	1,133	315	Ŕ	ส	'n	\$	5) <u>۲</u>	200	15	, c
1,232 320 173 302 171 14 227 1561 349 324 24 173 302 17 14 227 1261 349 324 24 173 302 17 14 227 1261 349 324 24 173 302 17 14 227 17 17 317 48 12 256 4854 4170 44,036 42,036 42,036 42,036 42,036 42,036 42,036 42,036 42,036 42,036 42,036 42,036 42,036 42,036 42,036 42,036 42,036 42,036 43,036	п	1,133	303	Ŕ	ส	គ	8	-	11	200	15	, v
1,261 349 324 24 173 302 47 14 227 1,261 349 324 24 173 302 47 14 227 1,261 349 324 24 173 302 47 14 227 1,376 402 374 28 177 317 48 12 256 1,376 402 374 28 177 317 48 12 256 1,376 402 374 28 177 317 48 12 256 1,376 402 40,695 4320 42,128 45,626 4854 4170 44,036 42	75 71	1,232	R	8	7₹	173	8	7) 	222	15	ง เ
1,261 349 324 24 173 302 47 14 227 1,261 349 324 24 173 302 47 14 227 1,376 402 374 28 177 317 48 12 256 1,376 402 374 28 177 317 48 12 256 1,376 402 374 28 177 317 48 12 256 1,376 402 \$	13	1,261	R	Ř	₹	173	Š	<u> </u>	7	227	35	
1,261 349 324 24 173 302 47 14 227 1,376 402 374 28 177 317 48 12 256 1,376 402 374 28 177 317 48 12 256 1,376 402 374 28 177 317 48 12 256 1,376 402 \$5,020 \$4,695 \$320 \$2,128 \$5,626 \$854 \$170 \$4,036 \$2	14	1,2%	¥	สี	₹	173	8	5	7	200		
1,376 to 2 374 28 177 317 48 12 256 1,376 to 2 374 28 177 317 48 12 256 10 526 to 2 520, to 2 52,020 \$	15	1,26.	X	Ŕ	₹	173	8	7	7.	227	150	- 6
1,376 402 374 28 177 317 48 12 256 med \$20,417 \$5,020 \$4,695 \$320 \$2,128 \$5,626 \$854 \$170 \$4,036 \$2	9T	1,3%	8	3	82	177	117	3	: 2	7	32	
\$20,417 \$5,020 \$4,695 \$320 \$2,128 \$5,626 \$854 \$170 \$4,036 \$2	17	1,376	ş	374	%	171	317	2	121	3,75	791	- (* - (*)
36 OCO (14 C) 17 C)		\$20,417	\$5,020	\$6°.	02.	\$2,128	\$5.6%	4854	\$170	\$60 03¢	202	?
The Art of	1						1		2	2006	46,136	

1/ Includes home-produced. 2/ Includes shelter; fuel, light, refrigeration, and water; household operations; and furnishings
and equipment. 3/ Includes personal care, recreation, reading, and other miscellaneous expenditures.
Source: Derived from the 1960-61 Survey of Consumer Expenditures.

ERIC

Full Text Provided by ERIC

Table 1C.--Estimated cost of raising an urban child at level of low-cost food plan, by region, in 1961 primes [In family of husband and wife and no more than five children]

All other 11, \$3,0,2 Trans-portation Educa-tion 174 Medical 43222222222222 care North Central Howsing 2/ \$5,576 South Estimated cost for Clothing \$50 \$2,00 \$2 \$2,024 Away from home \$2**!**# \$4,663 \$4,362 **20** At At 609 75 £24444444444 学。年 Total \$18,435 Total te of child . . ****** (Years) Inder 1 Joder 1 いれなればいい りいはいいれいない



Change of shift Total To		Table 1C Continued	ontinuea									Oster m.
Total Tota		-		in the second	_		_			-	-	- 13 W.C.I
17.66 12.00 12.0	Age of child (years)	Total	Total	At home 1/	Away	Clothin (Housing 2/	Medical care	Educa- tion	Trans- porta- tion	other	family sise (Fermone)
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,					200		Northeast					7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
176 124 124 0 77 77 77 77 10 10 10	Under 1	75.45	\$166	\$166	0\$	17t2\$	\$ 11.7	\$37	0\$	\$122	غر هم	
17.6 17.4 19.4 0 77 774 7.5 1.5	1	789	1 02	501	0	47	47	37	c	122	X.	د. خ
17.6 19.4 22.2 12 77 77 77 77 77 77 7	2	25	オコ	まっ	0	72	12.2	À	C	011	ا ال	= <u>`</u>
1,	3	25	- ま 1	194	0	72	77	: <u>.</u>	ට	110		, L)
1,	************	<u>%</u>	汞 3 7	222	12	72	1/2/2	÷	0	110	ا	- ·
No. 1	5	28	5. ₹.	55 5	15	ريّ	14.2		C I	110		·.
Marie Mari	9	†18 81†	۲ ک	218	£ ;	100	252		:- :	ė,	<u>.</u> -	11 1
Part		857	275	262	ញ :	001	22.5	€ , `	<u>. </u>	3 <u>;</u>	<u> </u>	
1,000 1,00		857	25.	88	t	35	- S	£ %		307	- -	
1,071 404 356 13 100 527 75 6 121 77 77 77 77 77 77 7	6	750	222	ž Š		3 5	Š €	ž. %	- 1	100	- <u>.</u>	• •
1,071 40,4 35,4 11,5 12,5 257 4 6 121 17 17 17 17 17 17 1	9	£8		<u> </u>	:	36	 X 2	S. 4	- :-	ĵ	7 7	•
1,071 4,04 36 17 17 257 4 6 121 77	T	£8	 X	25.5	2 \ 2 \ \	3 5	252	š \ š	- \c	10°	7 6%	
1,071 404 356 341 16 12 257 56 121 57 114 15 12 257 56 121 57 14 15 14 259 35 354 341 16 122 257 56 121 57 14 15 14 259 35 6 129 31 31 35 341 36 37 37 37 37 37 37 37		<u> </u>	9 4	35	g ½	X 25	7,70	(° '\$	2	121	- £	
1,071 404 366 17 147 259 55 6 129 51 51 52 53 54 51 52 54 52 54 52 54 52 54 52 54 52 54 52 54 52 54 52 54 52 54 52 54 52 54 54	13	32	27	<u> </u>	9,4	λ ξ 	- 250	< 4) \2	131	- \$ <u>`</u>	u' Nu'
415,77 404 366 17 147 259 35 6 129 51 415,926 45,130 44,929 420 41,36 44,748 45,478 45,062 41,489 492 416 41,629 420 41,36 44,748 45,478 45,48 45,48 45,48 45,48 41,	14	\$	ድ አ	1	<u>9</u> 9	3 K	2,52	÷ \$	ာ င	121	3 &) - ·
493c \$1,00 \$1,00 \$20 \$1,70 \$1,10 \$20 \$1,10 \$20 \$1,10 \$20	T	3,4	2 -	780	2 5	 4 <u>-</u>	- 2 &	2 %	2 · د 	120	F	~
415,936 \$5,130 \$44,929 \$20,136 \$11,		56.	1 3 3	3 ×	i 11	141	250	 5) (C	129	र इ.	
\$92 \$160 \$16 \$64 \$54 \$54 \$6 \$191 \$113 967 195 196 \$16 \$66 \$16 \$66 \$191 \$113 966 189 189 0 72 319 51 0 167 107 966 189 189 0 72 319 51 0 167 107 966 239 216 23 72 319 51 0 167 107 966 239 216 23 72 319 51 0 167 107 967 239 216 23 72 319 51 107 107 1,033 281 257 24 106 299 51 11 165 120 1,033 281 257 24 106 299 51 11 165 120 1,033 281 24 </th <th></th> <th>415 03K</th> <th>£5.130</th> <th>000</th> <th>. OC.</th> <th>\$1. 8</th> <th>\$4.788</th> <th>St. J.</th> <th>\$78</th> <th>\$2,062</th> <th>\$1,432</th> <th></th>		415 03K	£5.130	000	. OC.	\$1. 8	\$4.788	St. J.	\$78	\$2,062	\$1,432	
\$95 \$160 \$160 \$48 \$54 \$50 \$191 \$113 906 189 195 0 48 \$57 \$54 \$0 \$113 906 189 189 0 72 319 51 0 167 107 906 239 216 23 72 319 51 0 167 107 906 239 216 23 72 319 51 0 167 107 906 239 216 23 72 319 51 1 167 107 906 239 21 12 24 106 299 51 11 167 120 1,033 281 277 24 106 299 51 11 165 120 1,033 281 277 24 106 299 51 11 165 120 120 120 120		200	20-604	(2)			100 P	-				
\$95 \$160 \$16 \$40 \$48 \$57 \$54 \$0 \$193 \$113 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Hest</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>							Hest					
967 195 195 0 46 97 74 97 195	· Brder 1	26 \$	\$160	\$160	\$	8† \$	196\$	#2 \$	œ,	\$191	\$113	്. ≠-
906 189 189 0 72 319 51 0 167 107 906 189 189 189 0 72 319 51 0 167 107 906 239 216 23 72 319 51 0 167 107 1,033 281 277 24 106 299 51 11 165 120 1,033 281 257 24 106 299 51 11 165 120 1,033 281 257 24 106 299 51 11 165 120 1,033 281 257 24 106 299 51 11 165 120 1,104 329 34 24 106 299 51 11 165 120 1,176 329 34 24 106 299 51 11 165 120 <th>1</th> <th>8</th> <th>195</th> <th>195</th> <th>0</th> <th>33</th> <th>¥27</th> <th><u>表</u></th> <th>0</th> <th>191</th> <th>Ĭ.</th> <th>Ť.</th>	1	8	195	195	0	3 3	¥27	<u>表</u>	0	191	Ĭ.	Ť.
956 189 189 0 72 319 51 0 167 107 956 239 216 23 72 319 51 0 167 107 1,033 236 223 24 106 299 51 11 165 120 1,033 281 257 24 106 299 51 11 165 120 1,033 281 257 24 106 299 51 11 165 120 1,033 281 257 24 106 299 51 11 165 120 1,046 329 34 24 106 299 51 11 165 120 1,146 333 36 26 144 306 51 11 165 120 1,146 352 31 144 306 51 10 179 124 1,1	2	8	189	<u>8</u>	0	72	6#.	17	0	167	to:	ξ Λ.
956 239 216 23 72 349 51 0 167 107 956 239 226 23 24 106 299 51 11 169 107 1,033 281 257 24 106 299 51 11 165 120 1,033 281 257 24 106 299 51 11 165 120 1,031 283 284 24 106 299 51 11 165 120 1,106 299 51 11 165 120 120 120 1,106 299 51 11 165 120 120 120 1,106 333 36 26 144 306 51 11 165 120 1,176 352 335 26 144 306 51 10 179 124 1,276 412	3	8	189	189	0	72	319	15	0	167	10.	ŗ.
956 239 216 23 77 319 51 10 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 102 103 239 51 11 165 120	-	<u>%</u>	239	216	3	72	916	Z :	o (167 168	107	ر در ا
1,033 281 277 24 106 299 51 11 155 120 1,033 281 257 24 106 299 51 11 155 120 1,033 281 257 24 106 299 51 11 155 120 1,033 281 257 24 106 299 51 11 155 120 1,041 329 304 24 106 299 51 11 165 120 1,176 322 335 26 144 306 51 10 179 125 1,176 362 335 26 144 306 51 10 179 125 1,270 412 382 31 147 313 50 9 201 145 1,270 412 382 41,82 45,654 45,654 45,458 45,154 419,097 45,193 44,82 4356 41,890 45,654 45,654 45,458 45,154 1,033 281 277 24 106 299 201 145 1,270 412 382 41,82 45,654 45,654 45,458 42,154 419,097 45,193 44,82 4356 41,890 45,654 45,654 45,154 45,154 1,033 281 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 1,034 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 1,034 24 24 24 24 24 1,034 24 24 24 24 24 1,034 24 24 24 24 1,034 24 24 24 24 1,034 24 24 24 1,035 24 24 24 1,035 24 24 1,035 24 24 1,045 24 24 1,055 24 24 1,055 24 24 1,055 24 24 1,055 24 1,055 24 1,055 24 1,055 24 1,055 24 1,055 24 1,055 24 1,055 24 1,055 24 1,055 24 1,055 24 1,055 24 1,055 24 1,055 24 1,055 24 1,055 24 1,055 24 1,055 25 1,055 25 1,055 25 2,055 24	2	%	239	516	- 53	72	313	7.	; د) AT	707	
1,033 281 257 24 106 299 51 11 165 120 1,033 281 257 24 106 299 51 11 165 120 1,081 329 304 24 106 299 51 11 165 120 1,108 329 304 24 106 299 51 11 165 120 1,108 329 304 24 106 299 51 11 165 120 1,109 333 306 26 144 306 51 10 179 126 1,176 362 335 26 144 306 51 10 179 126 1,270 412 382 335 34,832 \$41,890 \$5,654 \$5,654 \$5,154 \$5,154 1,271 412 382 \$4356 \$41,890 \$5,654 \$5,654 \$5,154 \$5,154 1,003 201 137 137 1,004 201 137 1,005 201 137 1,05 201 201 1,05 201 201 1,05 201 201 2,05 201 201 2,05 201 201 2,05 201 201 2,05 201 201 2,05 201 201 2,05 201 201 2,05 201 201 2,05 201 201 2,05 201 2,05 201 2,05 201 2,05 201 2,05 201 2,05 201 2,05 201 2,05 201 2,05 201 2,05 201 2,05 2,05 2,05 2,05	9	<u>&</u>	8	213	₹.	8	\$ \$ -	7.5	1:	10.7 7 TO.7	130	ට ග ්
1,033 281 257 24 106 299 51 11 165 120 1,081 329 304 24 106 299 51 11 165 120 1,108 323 306 26 144 306 51 10 179 126 1,178 362 335 26 144 306 51 10 179 126 1,178 362 335 26 144 306 51 10 179 126 1,178 362 335 26 144 306 51 10 179 126 1,270 412 382 31 147 313 50 9 201 136 1,271 412 382 41,832 4356 41,890 45,654 45,158 42,154	£	1,033	5	257		<u>8</u>	3 5. 5	7.	‡ :	(07)	001	្យ
1,033 281 257 24 106 299 51 11 165 120 1,081 229 304 24 106 299 51 11 165 120 1,108 353 306 26 144 306 51 10 179 126 1,178 362 335 26 144 306 51 10 179 126 1,178 362 335 26 144 306 51 10 179 126 1,270 412 382 31 147 313 50 9 201 136 1,271 412 382 31 147 313 50 9 201 136 1,271 412 382 44,832 45,654 45,654 45,154 45,158 42,154 1,044 419,097 45,193 44,832 4356 41,890 45,654 45,454 45,158 42,154	9	1,033	5	257	₹₹	<u> </u>	£22 200	7.5	1 1	107	23.6	. r
1,081 29 304 24 106 299 51 11 165 120 1,106 333 306 26 1144 306 51 10 179 126 1,176 362 335 26 1144 306 51 10 179 126 1,176 362 335 26 1144 306 51 10 179 126 1,270 412 382 31 147 313 50 9 201 136 1,271 412 382 31 147 313 50 9 201 136 1,271 419,097 \$5,193 \$44,832 \$356 \$41,890 \$5,654 \$5,654 \$5,154 1,272 419,097 \$5,154 \$5,154 \$5,154 1,273 345 345 345 345,154 \$5,154 1,274 419,097 \$5,154 \$5,154 \$5,154 1,275 345 345 345 345,154 \$5,654 \$5,654 \$5,654 1,272 345 3	6	1,033	5	257	7.	9 ?	£ 8	7.5	1.) 191	061	. α. •
1,001 333 306 26 144 306 51 10 179 126 1,176 362 335 26 144 306 51 10 179 126 1,176 362 335 26 144 306 51 10 179 126 1,270 412 382 31 147 313 50 9 201 136 1,271 412 382 31 147 313 50 9 201 136 1,271 419,097 \$5,193 \$44,832 \$556 \$41,890 \$5,654 \$5,654 \$5,154 \$5,154	10	1,08 1,08 1,08 1,08 1,08 1,08 1,08 1,08	83	\$ 6	₹ 7) 	£ 6	7 5	1) () ()	91 E	
1,178 362 335 26 144 306 51 10 179 120 1,178 362 335 26 144 306 51 10 179 120 1,178 362 31 147 313 50 9 201 136 1,270 412 382 31 147 313 50 9 201 136 1,270 412 382 \$1356 \$1,890 \$5,654 \$\$922 \$124 \$5,154 1,270 412 382 \$1356 \$1,890 \$5,654 \$\$922 \$124 \$5,154 1,270 412 326 \$1,890 \$5,654 \$1,158 \$2,154 1,270 412 326 \$1,890 \$1,154 \$1,158 1,270 412 326 \$1,890 \$1,154 1,270 412 326 \$1,154 2,20 2,20 136 2,20 2,20 3,154 3,154	A	8.	828	ŧ,	₹ ¥	3 -	*	7.5	12	170		, o
1,178 362 335 26 144 306 51 10 179 120 1,178 362 33 26 144 306 51 10 179 120 1,270 412 382 31 147 313 50 9 201 136 1,271 419,097 \$5,159 \$44,832 \$356 \$13,890 \$5,654 \$5,654 \$5,156		1,1	25.	3 2	8 8 	# · ·	3 4	₹	3 5	179	Ē	.0
1,176 36 335 26 144 306 51 10 179 156 157 10 179 156 1147 313 50 9 201 138 1147 313 50 9 9 201 138 1147 313 50 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	13	51. 61.	2,5	35	8 % —		3 ×	7.5	2 5	170		. G
1,270 412 382 31 147 313 50 9 201 138 1,270 412 382 31 147 313 50 9 201 138 100,097 \$5,193 \$4,832 \$356 \$1,890 \$5,654 \$922 \$124 \$5,158 \$2,154		1,178	ž,	333	88	=======================================	3 2	7.5			, č.	,
1,270 412 382 31 147 313 50 9 201 138 149,097 \$5,193 \$4,832 \$356 \$1,890 \$5,654 \$922 \$124 \$5,158 \$2,154	j,	1,170	8 5	25.65	€ F	-) [2 	i≓	.
10421 \$5,193 \$4,832 \$356 \$1,890 \$5,654 \$922 \$124 \$3,158 \$2,154	9	1,276	15	¥&	4 8	141	3 #	_ 2 2	\o	201	\$ 1 1	14°C
419,09/ 45,153 44,036 45,050 41,050 45,054 45,054 45,054 45,054	1	2006	1 3	1 6	7304	- 6	4c (c)	, \$00	(41.0)	4,158	15L 6\$	
	Total	\$19,097	\$5,193	\$4,032	\$320	060°T	42,024	2264	\$7T¢	W7464	+1/∓6.3¢	

Botail may not add to total because of rounding.
// Includes home-produced.
// Includes home-produced.
// Includes personal care, recreation, reading, and other miscellaneous expenditures.
// Includes personal care, recreation, reading, and other miscellaneous expenditures.
// Bource: Derived from the 1960-61 Survey of Consumer Expenditures.

.-

Table 2A.--Estimated cost of raising a farm child at level of low-cost food plan, by region, at 1969 prices [In family of husband and wife and no more than five children]

				n reality or maneur	4						
					PROTERNA	Backer Cost 101-1					Aver-
Age of child	- Letter		DE	Avev		Housing	Medical	Educa-	Trans-	ALI T	age family
(yeers)		Total	¥ *		CLOCAING	2/	cere	tion	porta- tion	ocner 3/	size (Persons)
					1	Morth Central					
Under 1	026	971	977	3	950	09£\$	09\$	o s	081\$)TI\$	5.3
	8,6	<u>R</u> 8	<u> </u>	o c	28	200	3.5	5 C	001	9 6	, i
3	38	3 2	3.5	0	28	12	3 9	0	190	8 2	\ _5\
	8	₩	8	ଛ	8.	a	09	0	160	100	×.
2	.§.	€.	83	ୡ	8.	a R	9	0	160	100	ر م د
9	96,	2 8	ลิช	8.8	130	£ &	S 4	ର ଚ	160	011	5 3 4
	900	8€	£ %	8.8	3.0	3,8	38	3 8	199	110	0
6	3	₹	<u> </u>	28	32		3	2 8	160	011	£.0
97	1,090	8	윉	ଛ	130	&	·8	ଛ :	93	011	0.
	88	8	2	ର ହ	9 1 1 2 1	8 8	83	ୟ ନ	2 2 2 8	9 5	5 r
12	0.6.	R 8	3,5	2 8	8,61	3,8	38	2 8	3 2	120	- 2.0
7	1,230	£	3	٠ ج د	81	230	0	50	180	170	5.7
13	1,230	8	OF.	, _R	. 8 <u>1</u>	28.	99	8	180	150	5.7
gr	1,330	9	8	ጽ	220	88	3	8	198	œ1	5.5
17	1,330	9	8	ጽ	220	300	99	8	130	£1	5.5
Total	\$19,460	\$5,220	088,≇	\$3 00	071,24	\$2,400	\$1,080	\$240	\$3,060	\$2,020	
						South					
Under 1	\$1,0kg	01.13 11.10	\$170	3 .	09\$	380	09\$	ő\$	\$240	\$130	5.2
	986	₹	€ 8	0 0	3 5	9	2 9	0 0	9 5		, r
7	9	3 8	3 8	> C	3 5	2 2 2 2 2 2	3.8	0	3,02	227	
	997;1	8	88	× &	8	38	38	0	210	120	5.7
2	1,100	Æ	230	ዶ	100	350	99	0	210	150	5.7
9	1,130	88	දි දි	ዶዶ	35	a	35	ର ନ	210	6 6 7	r, r O o
	1,170	3,8	26	3,5	3 5	3 6	3 &		210	02.T	, 1,
6	1,170	8	8	3 8 —	911	ı	9	2 8	210	8	5.9
g	1,220	8	R	8	애	310	\$	ଛ -	210	130	5.9
n n	82,	<u>R</u>	ጿ	요 ?	3.5	25	99	ର ନ	210	0. 0. 1.	v. 0.4
13	1,30	£.	<u> </u>	2,8	3.5	2 g	3 8	3.8	8.2	음 음 -)
7.7	1,330	8	3,2	3,8	261	, S	9	2 8	220	음 -	. a
15	2,3	<u></u>	8.	, జ.	961	8	9,	2 3	220	140	9.5
17	1,160	3	3 3	₽ ₽	9 9 7.	& & 	88	R R 	072	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	5.5 5.5
Total	69,124	\$5,530	\$5,090	ONTS	\$2,600	\$5,940	\$1,080	\$240	0 7 0,€	\$2,360	
	•						•		· ·		



į	į
Ì	į
5	ì
ć	١
•	4
Í	į

Ann of child											Aver-
	Tatel		Food	-					É	· ·	age.
(years)		Total	At home 1/	Away from home	Clothing	Housing 2/	Medical care	Educa- tion	porta- tion	other 3/	family size (Persons)
,						Mortheast					
Upder 1	066	\$190	\$190	9	\$50	\$360	\$60	Ş	\$180	06\$	5.1
1	ę R	ଝୁ	230	0	ድ	360	.9	. 0	180	8	5.1
2	8	જ્ઞ	250	0	&	a	3	0	160	8	6.5
3	8	220	88	0	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	off.	33	0	160	8	200
*	8	8	×8	8	&	310	9	ø	160	8	2.0
3	8	8	8	ଛ	&	eg.	જુ	0	160	8	2.0
9	1,020	8	25	R	200	86	9	3	160	100	6.5
	1,99	88	8	ዶ	120	86	9	10	160	100	6.2
	1,070	88	8	ዶ	120	8	- -	01	1,60	100	6.2
6	1,070	88	8	ጽ	2 2 1	8	9	01	160	100	6.2
9	1,130	8	<u>~</u>	ଛ	25	8	9	91	160	100	6.2
	1,130	86	Z.	ዶ	क्ष	86	9	91	160	100	6.2
2	2,38	8	8	ዶ	170	8	9	ន	170	007	5,5
13	1,240	ଜୁ	9	ಜ	170	8	9	91	170	100	5.5
	1,240	욧.	8	ዶ	170	8	33	91	170	100	5.5
	1,240	<u>ፍ</u>	8	ጸ	170	8	3	10	0.21	100	5.5
	1,330	<u>\$</u>	25	ጽ	180	98	3	10	190	110	, <u>,</u> ,
17	1,330	<u>ş</u>	\$	ಜ	8	300	3	10	. 261	110	5.4
total	\$19,770	%,±	\$5,710	00 1 \$	\$2,180	\$5,500	\$1,080	\$120	\$3,020	\$1,760	
Total Parks	Party Commence of the commence	Ath the	019 400men								

Inble 2B.--Estimated cost of raising a rural nonfarm child at level of low-cost food plan, by region, at 1069 prices [In family of husband and wife and no more than five children]

					Estimated	cost for					Aver-
e of child			Food							7.7	ි ව ණිල දිනාණ්ටුශ
	Totel.	Total	At home 1/	Away from home	Clothing	Housing 2/	Medical	Educa- tion	porta-	.or 3/	oize (Persons)
						North Central					
Inder 1	016\$	02.13	\$170	0\$	\$50	\$330	Q.	\$	\$210	\$100	6.4
1	1,000	500	200	0	S	330	S	0	210	007	6.4 6.4
	g	200	82	0	8	350	3	0	170	<u>\$</u>	က ထံ
3	976	200	82	0	8	2.5	5,	0	170	8	γ. æ•
7	98	250	230	ଛ	&	2%	₹ -	0	170	§	÷γ ∞°
2	8	520	230	ଛ	සි	23	2	0	170	8 ;	æ ़ .^`.
,	8	220	S. 3	ୟ :	120	500	200	9 ;	170	3 5	ت م د
	1,030	8, 8	250	ର ଚ	120	2, 2	2.5	9 5	170	3 3	i Va
0	2001 1	3 , 8	200	₹ 8	8 6	8,8	25	3 5	22	3 5	n a
10		3.3	2 8	3 8	200	3 8	\$ 9	3 ន	170	<u>_</u>	, ., , .,
7	90.1	9	8	2 8	120		, ç,	2	170	92	ري. دي
3	1,170	₽	8	ଛ	170		.	01	961	110	9.6
13	1,2m	320	320	ଛ	170	8	2	o r	190	110	5.6
1½	1,20	370	350	ଛ	170	300	R	91	190	110	5.6
15	1,200	330	350	ଛ	170	<u>&</u>	22	ខ្ព	190	110	5,6
J6	1,320	<u>₹</u>	8	ዶ	210	<u>@</u>	<u>S</u>	o ī	200	150	2.5
17	1,320	&	00 ₄	ዶ	210	<u>8</u>	25	잌	8	021	5.5
Jotel	\$19,360	₹ ,380	\$5,080	\$300	\$2,240	\$5,600	\$300	\$120	\$3,240	\$1,840	_
						South					
Inder 1 ages	\$1.100	4170	\$170	9	9\$60	0243	\$60	9	\$260	\$130	8 4
1	1.160	210	270	•	8	27	3	0	Se	130	&
	1,000	8	8	0	8	Off.	2	0	210	110	5.v
3	1,000	8	8	0	8	₽£	25	0	210	110	5.
	1,060	,8	230	8	8	윷. -	S	0	210	110	<u>ع</u> د
·	9,00	8	230	유 : 	8.; 	9	2.6	0 ;	210	011	ء د ک
	986	<u> </u>	2 E	2 8	3,5	3 8	2.5	3 5	2 8	2 5	ກຸວ ດໍ່ປ ——
	130	3,8	220	⋧ , ⊊	2 6	9 (5	~ 5	3 5	3 8	2 2	
9	021	 8	270	ጳ ጵ	3.5		2 22	2	200	120	. 6.
, st	1,170	32	8	, _S	13	01K	2.	10	88	120	5.4
n	1,170	32	88	, S	130	310	2	10	90	120	6.6
72	1,250	<u>2</u> 2	<u>8</u>	옸	170	<u>R</u>	55	10	220	130	ω. •
13	1,280 1,280	<u>&</u>	350	유	170	88	R :	ន :	옷 (<u>چ</u> :	ທ໌. ໝໍ.
14	88	8 8	<u></u>	& 8	21.	8 8	2.2	9 .	250	⊋ ;	- V.
75	0:4	8.5	25	⊋, ⊊	7,5	2, 5	2 6	7.	230	⊋ <u>⊆</u> 	 0
17	1,10	ያ ያ	3 <u>3</u>	R 유	2,5	2 R	~ 5	33	38	140	2.6
Total	\$21,050	\$5,510	\$5,090	°5420	\$2,400	\$5,980	\$350	\$120	\$3,900	\$2,220	·—
				,				-	,	, 	



(Persond) Includes shelter; fuel, light, refrigera-Aver-age family size home-produced, 2/ Includes snearer;, 3/ Includes personal care, recreation, reading, and other [miscellaneous expenditures. \$3,140 \$130 130 130 130 130 140 140 140 140 150 150 160 160 \$2,540 All other \$3,920 porta-Transtion Educa-tion \$920 Medica care 88,98 Howsing 2/ Northeas West Includes **3**,0€ Clothing \$2,500 furnishings and equipment. of Consumer Expenditures. and furnishings and 84 Avey from home Note: Data have been rounded to the nearest \$10 At home 1/ \$5,670 200 \$6,070 Total ' Table 2B. -- Continued tion, and water; household Source: Derived from the 23,070 Total • Age of child (year's) Stel Inder 1

ERIC Provided by ERIC

Table 2C..-Estimated cost of raising an urban child at level of low-cost food plan, by region, at 1969 prices [In family of husband and wife and no more than five children]

					Retimotod	1000	THE CHITTE				
Age of child			Pood								Aver-
(years)	Totel	Totel	, ve	Pres.	Clothing	Housing	Medical	Educa-	Trans- porta-	other	age family
			√r amou	home		**	care	tion	tion	Ŋ	size (Persons)
The 1	1				- 1	North Central					
J	001	8 8	88	8 '	<u>\$</u>	ગ 91 ‡	\$60	0\$	\$230	Ø. [♣	α =
0	1,1	2,8	9,50 7,50 7,50 7,50 7,50 7,50 7,50 7,50 7	0	8	094	.09	٥	200	1 2 3 8	_ x
3	36	8 8	88	0	8.	86	09	0	2 8	3.6) • 4
	3	3 8	88	0 ;	8	38	 .9	0	8	220	, u
2	4	8 8	200	ጹ	8	38	8	0	800	200	
9	1.170	3,5	2 %	ዷ	8;	86	9	0	8	027	\ u^
7	82	3.5	8,8	₹, ह	86	96	 	50	190	91	ۍ ه
9	1,220	350	3,8	3,6	8 F	8	 S	50	198	9,1	, <u>, ,</u>
6	1,220	300	3,8	ጻጽ	9 6	3 %	8.	8	198	961	\$. \$
9	1,270	8	200	3,8	3,5		3 (ର ୪	961	9. T	5.8
	1,270	&	፠	R	130	3,5	3 .5	8 8	S. F.	도 구	φ, φ,
7.	₹.	중	320	8	81	, \$	2 9	8 8	3,8	 ⊋.;	ຜູ້
7.		<u>Q</u>	8	ଛ	180	· E	3.5	8 8	3 8	7. 140	ر د د
		<u>Ş</u>	<u>&</u>	8	997	3	3 9	3 8	3 5	077	5.6
74	e de	8	8	8	180	Ş	3.5	2, 6	2 8	017 017	5.6
17	7,7 2,7 2,0 3,0 4,0 7,0 7,0 7,0 7,0 7,0 7,0 7,0 7,0 7,0 7	21	<u>역</u>	8	250	(<u>₹</u>	8	3 8	3 8	 9 (ر م د
	L,750	0.4	9	8	250	&		2 8	2000	226	
TOTAL	22,690	\$6,030	\$5,610	027	\$5,480	0 1 8 , 94	\$1,080	\$200	\$3,640	Ç; ;;	2.5
						South					
Under 1	\$1.080	S180	£180	\$	274						
1	1,120	8	280	 ≩ ⊂	3 (8	9 9	09	Ç,	\$210	\$130	4°8
2	1,030	210	250	0	3 8	3 6	3 9	0 (210	2	ω• -‡
~	1,030	210	230	. 0	3 8	25	3 9	0 (8 ;	유.	5.5
*	86.	×	240	8	8	320	3 3	- c	39	8;	ر. در.
7	986	8	9₹	ଛ	.8	320	38)	84	25	v.
	26.	230	8	೩	130	33.0	9	° 8	130	27.	, s
-60	9	3,8	8 8	ଛ	2	330	9	8	170	130	ب م م
6	9771	3,8	8,5	R 8	8 1 1	330	9,	- 02	170	. A	2.0
10	1,190	320	<u></u>	8 8	3,5	9 G	 G (ଛ	170	130	2.6
T	1,190	350	300	8	2 5	2 6	000	ନ୍ଦ୍ର (170	130	5.6
12	1,280	S.	330		38	3 6	2 9	2	170	 08.1	5.6
13	1,330	8	S S	3,8	81	2,2	8 .5	ର ଚ	8,5	017	5.5
15	a 1	8	Š,	8	180		3 3	8 8	3,5	. 017	٠. د.
79	96	<u>8</u> .3	9	유 :	180	330	3	2 8	2.5	94 F	V 4
17	1,12	33	3 3	88	550 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510	£ €	8 9	288	₹ & :	150	, 2°, 2
Total	- oye [64	40,000	4		*	ZE C	3	R	200	150	5.2
	1	009,54	\$5,260	0 1 6	\$2, hoo	\$6, 340	\$1.080	Onlc:\$	43 330	 36 4	
								! !	٠٠٠ و٠٠	t We g Jens	

Table 2C. -- Continued

	[1] [2] [3] [3] [3] [3] [3] [3] [3] [3] [3] [3	## home 1/ 1/2 2/3	Ave.	M-41-10	U.m. n.i.n.c.			-	_	
Jer 1	8 3 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	\$200 240 240 230 230 270 270	home	CLothing	nousing 2/	Medical	Educa- tion	Trans- porta- tion	other A	family size (Persons)
# 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	\$200 2.50 2.30 2.30 2.70			Northeast					
	3 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	OŞ.	\$60	4300	¢£0	ů,	63.69	204	-
	<u> </u>	230		3,5	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	- -	2.5	တ္တွင် နေ	± -
	\$ 3 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	8888	· c	3 8	2 5	5 (> 6	22.	8 8	P
	?&&&&&&&&&&	388		3 8	2 6	7	э ·	3€	SK 	5.5
	78888888888888888888888888888888888888	2,0	۶ د	3.8	⊋ ?	2 :	0	138	8.	5.5
	3888888	23	₹ 5	3.		2	0	ا چ	8	3.5
	<u> </u>		2	8	<u>S</u>	2	0	130	8	5.5
		9	ನ	120	a	55	91	130	, <u>S</u>	α,
	<u></u>	<u>a</u>	8	120	310	50	2) F	2	ο α • υ
	<u></u>	310	8	120	310	, <u>C</u>	3 5	2 5	3 5	0.0
	 }&& <u>\$</u>	200	5	5	4 5	₹ 2	3;	⊋ ;	3	0,0
	 R & S	A S	2 8	120	or s	2	3	130	8	5.8
	<u> </u>	2/0	₹	021	er E	S S	2	130	81	2,8
	٤	<u>e</u>	ଛ	220	ã	55	2	130	202	α, ιτ
	3	8	8	160	310	, II	- : -	2 6	25	•
13 1,210	Q.	017	ç	36	3 5	2 5	3 5	2 6];	<u>ر</u>
	3.5	9 5	3 8	3,	₹;	2	3	0#1	9 11 1	5.5
	⊋ ;	07	2	097	e e	S S	2	140	110	5.5
	유 구	014	ଛ	160	<u>a</u>	5	91	140	011	, 10
	<u>Ş</u>		_ &	180	ğ	5	5	150	Î	3
17 1,300	<u>\$</u>	ŝ	8	- S	ន្តិន	2 2	3 5	170		7.
_	46 180	90	0004	1 0	3	₹,	3	N/T		7.3
44.5,500	707.00	42,900	200	\$2,200	\$5,880	006	\$120	\$2,\ 1 60	\$1,780	
					West					
Under 1 \$1,150	\$100	\$190	Ş	Q.	41.50	VQ.4		0004		
	2,5	2,5	•	3 %	2	ည္အ	<u>ş</u> °	\$2.50 \$4.50 \$1.00	\$1 ₄₀	ი -
011.1	5 8	2 6	• c	3 8	2.6	3 i	3	2 2 2 2	91 1	o••
	2 5	2 6	- ·	3.	<u>S</u>	02	0	500	130	5.6
	3 8	3	 -	83.	 & &	2		500	130	5.6
0/161	3	2 2 2 3	유	8	<u>8</u>	202	0	500	, E	, r.
0/1/1	8	&	R	8	8	02	c	200	S 6	
6 1,220	8	ģ	Ş	3,0	32.	2 6	۰ ۶	200	35) o
7 7	<u>_</u>	310	, ,	2 6	2 6	2 6	3 ;	3 3	126	٠ <u>٠</u>
020.	, cal	į	\$ 8	2 6	26	21	3 ;	200	33	ν.α
	2 6	3 5	3,8	2,5	25	2	3	88	150	- م
	<u> </u>	3 9	2 2	D\$ 1	- 25 - 25 - 25	2.	2	500	150	5.8
	3	5. 0.	R	130	370	2	2	200	150	8
•	₹.	22	<u></u> 유	23	28	2	2	250	120	, ur
	ei Fi	22	.⊋	81	370	202	5	פוכ	150	
13 1,430	<u>.</u>	<u>ş</u>		180	262	2 5	: 2	25.	25.	2 4
th	3	9	3	82	2 6	2 6	3 5	9.5	25.	0,0
15 1,430	-}	9	2	180	2 6	2 6	3 5	017	2.5	٥,٠
055.0	8	9	_	8	2 6	2 6	3 5	975	270	٥.
17 1,550	ş	<u>\$</u>	2	82	3 &	2.6	3 5	9 5	27.	
	700	400		}	3	=	3	₹,	27	7.4
4×3,500	35,02	020,44	\$# \$ 0	\$2,340	\$6,920	\$1,280	\$120	\$3,780	\$2,640	

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

Table 3.--Estimated costs of raising a child born January 1, 1951, at low-cost food plan level, by region and urbanization, in prices current in the year specified [In family of husband and wife and no more than five children]

10 E			Pural f	arra.			Rural nonfar	on farm			Url	Urban	
(Jeers)	Ier.	Morth Central	South	North- east	West	North Central	South	North- east	West	North Central	South	North- east	West
Ilmfor 1	180	4650	06.64	4774		4/00	4	4.00					
	196	3.5	Ş			200	erro See	€//HO	 22.23 	06.2\$	\$760	2 **	\$800
1 0	7,2	2.5	3 %	₹		æ. €.	920	<u>e</u>	& 6	940	810	700	86.
	2	0/0	3	8		029	750	82	860	800	768	\$	Blo
- -	2	29	<u>و</u>	9 9		229	750	903	G-78	800	7(0)	£ £	810
1	192	710	8,	25		200	385	640	016	8	S.	2007	2 2
	<u>\$</u>	82	82	730		710	26	<u>S</u>	026	850	2	Q /.	5 6
-	132	22	970	8		765	9.0	000		8 8	988	06.7	200
-	128 128 128	88	88	850		820	- S	Ş	1.050	ર કે	24.0	2 2	2,62
1	28	9 8 8	₹	8			000	000) (S	020	32	2 9	7,000
	8	8 8	950	870	•	od 8	010	000	200 1	266	000	⊋ (020 (1
1 2	1961	8	.00	0.00		- C	3,0	07.61	1,000	<u></u>	3	Q.	1,030
T	8	26	28	2 0	_	2 8	3 5	2)	⊛1 ' 1	30 .1	01/6	910	., 88
2	1 2	3 8	2001	2		3	0.15	1, CHO	1,150	1,040	- CH-	8,	1,100
4 5	3,5	1,000	3.	01061		£	1,050	1,180	1,760	1,1:0	1,070	1,000	1,180
-	1 2	2001.	976	096 1		1,030	0,000	1,670	1,310	1,180	1,110	1,040	1,230
1	ξ.;	2051	24,1	7,080 1,080		1,050	1,110	1,540	1,330	1,190	1,120	1,060	1,250
1	8	1,100	1,190	1,110		1,080	1,140	1,580	1,370	1,250	1,00	80	280
1	<u> </u>	1,210	1,350	1,230		1,200	1,300	1,140	1.5.0	1.410	280	26.	007
/1	26 26 26 27	1,260	1,390	1,270	•	1,250	1,350	1,400	1,590	1,470		376	1,450
Poter		\$15,900	\$17,690	\$16.180		\$15 Ago	\$17 100	\$18 F.20	, C. C.	00° 014	- CHC E14	≥	O/L 6 7
			•			200,000	~ (03 16 OT#	\$50.50 \$1.50	OT¢	007,11¢ 1	025, (CT∳	\$19,010

Note: Data remaded to nearest \$10. Source: Data in table 1 adjusted by index numbers in table h_{\star} .

Table 4.--Index numbers of price change from base period to specified year, by urbanization

Year	At home	Food Away from home	Clothing	Housing	Medical care	Educa- tion	Trans- porta- tion	All other
		Rure	ıl farm and	l rural no	nfarm (19	61 = 100)	<u> </u>
1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969	95.5 97.1 95.4 94.9 93.0 93.4 96.5 100.7 100.0 100.7 102.0 103.2 105.6 110.9 110.6 114.2 119.7	80.2 81.5 82.8 84.3 85.2 86.8 90.1 92.8 97.9 102.7 105.9 109.3 114.3 120.2 126.4 134.1	95.3 94.4 93.7 93.5 93.1 95.0 96.6 96.9 97.7 99.0 100.6 101.7 102.6 103.7 106.4 110.7 116.6 123.4	84.9 86.5 88.8 89.9 90.6 91.9 94.8 97.5 99.0 100.9 100.9 103.2 104.4 106.9 114.6 121.9	69.1 72.9 75.4 77.8 79.6 82.5 85.8 89.9 93.1 100.0 102.6 105.1 107.3 109.9 114.7 122.8 130.3 139.3	85.8 86.2 87.0 86.2 85.9 87.1 90.4 95.5 97.9 100.0 104.0 106.4 107.5 109.2 112.0 117.3 121.7	80.0 85.3 87.7 86.5 85.4 87.0 91.9 98.9 100.0 102.1 105.8 107.3 110.4 113.9 118.3	85.6 85.4 86.0
			Urba					
1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969	95.9 97.6 95.8 95.3 93.4 93.8 96.9 101.1 98.7 99.6 100.4 101.1 102.4 103.6 106.1 111.4 111.1	81.1 82.4 83.7 85.2 86.1 87.8 91.0 93.8 96.4 98.9 101.1 103.8 106.1 108.0 110.5 115.5 121.5 127.8 135.6	95.7 94.7 94.1 93.9 93.5 97.0 97.3 98.1 99.6 100.4 101.0 102.1 103.0 104.1 1106.8 111.1 117.1	85.2 86.9 89.2 90.2 90.9 92.3 95.2 96.8 97.9 99.6 100.4 101.3 102.4 103.6 104.8 107.3 110.4 115.1	70.1 73.9 76.5 78.9 50.8 63.7 87.1 91.2 95.2 98.5 101.5 104.1 106.7 108.8 111.5 116.4 124.6 132.2 1-1.3	86.8 87.1 88.0 87.1 36.8 50.1 91.4 95.0 96.6 98.9 101.1 103.3 105.1 107.6 113.2 118.5	111.6	84.7 85.4 86.2 86.0 86.5 88.9 92.2 95.6 97.3 99.3 100.7 102.7 104.3 106.1 107.0 112.0 115.9 122.0

Source: Derived from components of Consumer Price Index.



Table 5.--Cost-income relationship, by region and urbanization, in 1960-61 prices [In families of husband, wife, and no more than five children]

Urbanization	North Central	South	Northeast	West				
	Annual average co	st, all ages	of child					
Farm	\$890 890 1,020	\$990 960 970	\$900 1,050 890	NA \$1,130 1,060				
	Annual average di all ages of chi		ly income, 1/					
Farm	\$6,130 5,660 6,560	\$5,870 5,960 5,690	\$6,280 6, 5 60 5,3 7 0	NA \$6,830 6,700				
	Cost as a percentage of income							
Farm	(<u>Pet.)</u> 15 16 16	(<u>Pct.)</u> 17 16 17	(<u>Pct.</u>) 14 16 17	(Pct.) NA 17 16				

Note: Averages rounded to nearest \$10.

1/ Average weighted by number of children.
Source: Derived from the 1960-61 Survey of Consumer Expenditures.

